مر

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,778	12/29/2003	William J. LaBarge	DP-309619	1356	
Paul Marshall	7590 11/15/2007		ЕХАМ	INER	
Delphi Technologies, Inc.			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CAM N	
M/C-480-410-202 P.O. Box 5052		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	Troy, MI 48007			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		11/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/747,778	LABARGE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cam N. Nguyen	1793				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/31/	07 (an amendment/response).					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18,19,21-24,26 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18,19,21-24,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.						
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <i>originally filed</i> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/747,778

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment and remarks, filed August 31, 2007, has been made of record and entered. Claims 1-17, 20, & 25 have been canceled. Claim 18 has been amended.

Claims 18-19, 21-24, & 26-27 are currently pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 18, 22, 24, & 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim (US Pat. 6,855,661 B2).

Kim discloses a process of preparing a catalyst for purification of exhaust gas, comprising at least a catalytic metal and a carrier, the process comprises the steps of: (1) preparing a solution of precursors of a zirconia-titania composite oxide, (2) adding an alkali solution to co-precipitate said solution to form a mixed gel thereof, (3) drying, shaping, and calcining the resulting mixed gel to form a composite oxide, (4) depositing at least one catalytic metal selected from a group consisting of platinum, palladium, rhodium, ruthenium, and rhenium; etc. (see col. 18, claim 6). Kim further discloses that the organic precursors of Ti(OR)₄ and Zr(OR)₄ can be used with alcohols, such as n-propyl or iso-propyl to prepare the zirconia-titania carrier precursors. The carrier precursors are dissolved in water to be converted to their hydroxides, Ti(OH)₄ and Zr(OH)₄, which are then neutralized and precipitated with an alkali to form gels or mixed gels thereof (see col. 6, ln 1-29). The calcination is carried out at a temperature of 400 to 1000°C (see col. 7, ln 57-59).

There is no patentable distinction seen between the claimed process and that disclosed by Kim. Thus, the claims are anticipated by the teaching of the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,778 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

5. Claims 19, 21, & 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US Pat. 6,855,661 B2), as applied to claims 18, 22, 24, & 26-27 above, and further in view of Manzer et al., "hereinafter Manzer", (US Pat. 6,235,677 B1).

Kim discloses a process of preparing a catalyst as described above, except for the solid solution further comprises yttrium and lanthanum, and the organometallic precursor further comprises methacrylic acid.

It would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated these known promoters into the process of Kim in order to achieve an improved and promoted catalyst having enhanced in activity, because they are known as useful catalyst promoters as evidenced by Manzer (see Manzer at col. 15, claim 14).

With respect to the methacrylic acid required in claim 23, it would have been obvious to use the "methacrylic acid" in the process of Kim to destabilize the colloids to form colloidal gels of the carrier precursors because Manzer fairly teaches that once the particles come in close enough contact when destabilized, polymerization and crosslinking reaction between the surface functional groups can occur. Thus, the addition of an acid or a base is used to destabilize the colloids to form colloidal gels of the carrier precursors (see Manzer at col. 7, ln 11-27).

Response to Applicants' Arguments

6. Applicants' response filed on August 31, 2007 has been carefully reviewed, but not deemed persuasive because of the following reasons.

Art Unit: 1793

Applicants urged, that "Kim does not disclose mixing an already-formed titanium alkoxide with an already-formed zirconium alkoxide as required by Applicants' claims" is noted. It is not found persuasive because there is no heat treatment step involved between the step of preparing the titanium alkoxide and the step of preparing the zirconium alkoxide in the instant claims. Thus, it is considered the solution of precursors of zirconia-titania composite oxide as prepared in the first step of the Kim reference is the same as the step of mixing the titanium alkoxide and the zirconium alkoxide together to form an organometallic precursor as being claimed. Further, Kim also teaches to use alcohol to prepare the titanium and zirconium carrier precursors, which provides for the same process steps as being required by applicants in the instant claims.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,778

Art Unit: 1793

Conclusion

Page 6

8. Claims 18-19, 21-24, & 26-27 are pending. Claims 18-19, 21-24, & 26-27 are rejected.

No claims are allowed.

Contacts

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is

571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM, at

alternative work site.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cam N. Nguyen/

Nguyen/cnn Primary Examiner

November 09, 2007 Art Unit: 1793